# Dark matter searches @CMS, LHC CERN

#### BHAWNA GOMBER UNIVERSITY OF HYDERABAD, INDIA (ON BEHALF OF THE CMS COLLABORATION)



ICHEPAP 2023 11th -15th Dec 2023



# Introduction



Existence of dark matter known through its gravitational interactions

#### **Galactic rotation**



# **Weak lensing**



#### Underlying nature of dark matter (DM) remains unknown

There is a well established case for weakly interacting DM particles (WIMPs) Such particles may be **produced in high energy p-p collisions** at LHC!!

# Dark Matter

- Favorite collider candidate: WIMP
- Weakly interacting, massive, stable



# General collider strategy



Non-interacting particles cause momentum imbalance in the transverse plane of the beam

#### BHAWNA GOMBER 3

#### Challenges with Missing Transverse Momentum





Spurious detector signals can cause fake **MET signatures that must be identified** and suppressed.

#### Anomalous high MET can be due to:

- Particles striking sensors in the ECAL photodetectors
- Beam halo particles
- ECAL dead cells (real energy to have been missed)
- Noise in photodiode & readout box electronics in HCAL



#### Disclaimer : Presenting sub-set of DM results

# Simplified Dark Matter Models

- Dark matter production in pp collisions described using *"simplified models"*
- Capture the essential features of a variety of DM signals through a minimal so parameters

#### $\checkmark$  s – channel mediators



 $\checkmark$  t – channel mediators

# LHC DM Forum iv:1507.00966 Med(m<sub>med</sub> **g**<sub>DM</sub>  $g_q$

#### **Parameters:**

- Spin/Parity of mediator
- Mediator mass *(M<sub>med</sub>)*
- DM Mass *(M<sub>DM</sub>)*
- Mediator coupling to DM (g)
- Mediator coupling to quarks

# MonoJet CMS Result

- v Search for physics with particles that decay *invisibly* in association with
- ü Search is performed in **MonoJet** and **MonoV** categories and combined

**MonoJet:** Jets come from the fragmentation of a single quark/gluon







Several new physics models predict such an experimental signature at the dete

# MonoJet

#### Signal Region: **Jets + MET**

Background: Z/W+jet, top, dibosons, multijet

- At least one high pT central jet
- Veto events with leptons (e,  $\mu$ , $\tau$ ) and photons  $\gamma$
- MET (Hadronic Recoil) > 250 GeV
- Events are broadly categorized in mono-jet and mono-V based on leading jet pT
- o **Mono-V:** Jet pT (AK8) > 250 GeV
- o **Mono-jet:** Jet pT (AK4) > 100 (150) GeV

#### **CMS Result**

We employ semi-data driven technique supported by statistically independe  $regions(1e/\mu, 2e/\mu, t, \gamma)$ , to constrai normalization of SM backgrounds



Data/background predictions in Control Regions

# MonoJet Signal Extraction



- Signal extraction is based on MET distribution, an unconstrained parameter per recoil bin per category and year.
	- Monojet: 22 bins Mono-V: 7 bins
- SR and CRs are linked together with binned transfer factors (TF).
- The TFs are constrained by simulations, within theory and experimental uncertainties.
	- experimental uncertainties on TFs.
	- mixed QCD-EWK corrections and NNLO QCD on TFs

Maximum-likelihood fit



- Theory uncertainties for the V ratios and V+jets corrections are from the Lindert et al paper.
- This fit model is replicated in each category/year, then likelihoods across the categories/years are combined.

# Monojet in SR



#### **Selection**

p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> > 250 GeV, monojet+ monoV Madgraph generator for major bkgs 22 bins 5 CR (2 W, 2 Z, 1 photon) regions split by year **3x22 free floating parameters for monoJet + 3x7 for monoV**

#### MonoJet Results





Goal is to probe higher masses and lower couplings





**Comparison to direct detection experiments**



Axial-vector mediator results are translated into 90% CL exclusion limits on the spin-dependent WIMP–nucleon scattering cross section  $\sigma$ SD as a function of the WIMP mass



ATLAS provides WIMP annihilation rate as a function of WIMP mass [backup]



#### BHAWNA GOMBER



#### BHAWNA GOMBER

#### Mono-Z Comparison

#### **Eur.Phy.J.C.81(2021) 13**



# v **For Simplified DM model (Vector) For 2HDM+a model**

#### **Mediator mass excluded upto 800 GeV Maximal exclusion m<sub>a</sub> = 350 GeV and M<sub>H</sub> = 1.2 TeV**



# Mono - Higgs



- o After the discovery of the **Higgs boson (125 GeV)** it is possible to probe the dark matter using this new handle
- o **New massive particle** mediates the **Higgs-DM** interaction
- o Search performed in **five decay channels** and statistically combined  $\circ$  bb,  $\gamma\gamma$ , WW, ZZ, and  $\tau\tau$
- o Results interpreted using three simplified models:
	- $\circ$  Z' 2HDM
	- $\circ$  Baryonic Z'
	- $\circ$  2HDM + a





# Mono-Higgs

**DM** 

#### Final states orthogonal to each other









 $\overline{O}$  F

# Dark Higgs (WW)





Model parameters are:

- DM mass: mx,
- Z' mass: mZ',
- dark Higgs mass: ms,
- Z' couplings to quarks (gq)
- $Z'$  couplings to DM (gx),
- the mixing angle between SM and the dark Higgs bosons (sin  $\theta$ ).

#### Dark Higgs (WW)

DM particles acquire their mass through their interactions with a Dark Higgs boson.

**Signal extraction: 3D ML fit to**  $\Delta R(II)$ , mll, mT(lmin + pT)





a.u.



# Higgs Invisible



Strong experimental evidence for DM from astrophysical observations. Most studied class of theories predict DM to be a weakly interacting massive particle.

#### **Higgs Portal**



## VBF Higgs Invisible



New trigger strategy: using jet properties from VBF production in addition to  $p_T^{miss}$  trigger.

Using V+jets and  $\gamma$  + jets CRs to constrain major backgrounds (Z(vv)+jet and W(lv)+jets).





BHAWNA GOMBER 14th Dec 2023

## VBF Higgs Invisible

- Combination of Run 1 and Run2
	- 95% CL upper limit on the in BR (H $\rightarrow$ invisible) < 0.18 (0.10)





Constraints are compatible with SM  $H \rightarrow$  invisible branching ratio. Constraints on spin independent DM-neucleon cross-section



# Dark photon in VBF Higgs







# Dark photon in VBF Higgs









# Dark photon in VBF Higgs





BHAWNA GOMBER 14th Dec 2023

# Summary



- $\diamondsuit$  **Performing a variety of searches for new phenomena at the LHC, including searches for dark matter, which provide access to the phase space**
- v **Presented a few new results for CMS, all of which use the full Run2 results**
- **V** No signal observed yet, but more to do! *Need to look everywhere*
- $\dots$ *More exciting Run2 results will be coming out in the comign months <b>❖ Stay tuned for this and the upcoming Run3!*

**Thank You** 

#### Backup



#### MonoZ : Fitting Strategy



#### **Fitting Strategy**

- $\triangleright$  ATLAS:
	- $\triangleright$  nonresonant and WZ production normalized from data
	- ► ZZ production not normalized from data, replying on simulation post-facto

 $\triangleright$  CMS:

- nonresonant production normalized from data
- $\triangleright$  Z + jets events in 0 jet and 1 jet categories normalized from data
- $\triangleright$  WZ and ZZ production estimated from data using a single normalization factor
- In large EWK correction uncertainties considered
- $\triangleright$  VV shape: 3 additional nuisances  $(\pm 10/20/30\%)$  at low  $(80 < p_T^{\text{miss}} < 200 \text{GeV})$ , medium  $(200 < p_T^{\text{miss}} < 400 \text{GeV})$ , and high  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$  ( $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 400 \text{GeV}$ ) to allow for independent leverage in the fit
- $\triangleright$  Arguable, the last two set of uncertainties are a matter of choice, having both of them is a rather conservative approach

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ → 할 → 9 Q @

Б

#### OTHER INTREPRETATIONS : CMS MONOJET





CMS has significantly better limits in pseudo-scalar mass exclusion

 $\overline{OR}$ 

ATLAS-EXOT-2018-06

CMS and ATLAS pretty much similar limits for spin-1, exclude mediator mass upto 1.95 (2.1) TeV, for CMS(ATLAS), respectively

- CMS produces exclusion in coupling which ATLAS doesn't

#### EXOTICA WORKSHIP 2021: BHAWNA GOMBER November 22, 2021

#### Monophoton ATLAS



Table 5: Observed and expected yields from SM backgrounds obtained from the 'simplified shape fit' described in Section 5. The normalisation factors obtained from the fit are also shown. The uncertainty includes both the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The individual uncertainties can be correlated and do not necessarily add in quadrature to equal the total background uncertainty.



Table 6: Summary of the uncertainties  $(\%)$  in the background estimate for inclusive SRs after the background-only fit and for exclusive SRs after the 'simplified shape fit'. The individual uncertainties can be correlated and do not necessarily add in quadrature to equal the total background uncertainty.



#### Monophoton ATLAS



Table 5: Observed and expected yields from SM backgrounds obtained from the 'simplified shape fit' described in Section 5. The normalisation factors obtained from the fit are also shown. The uncertainty includes both the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The individual uncertainties can be correlated and do not necessarily add in quadrature to equal the total background uncertainty.



## Towards Run3

#### v **MonoZ**

- $\bullet$  No major hurdles, uses standard objects/triggers
- $\cdot$  Improve ZZ control region (had low statistics in Run2)
- $\bullet$  Use aggressive approach for theory uncertainty for ZZ
- v Expand 2HDM+a results, include sin(theta) scan, as done by ATLAS
- v **Monojet**
	- $\cdot$  In Run2, electron/photon uncertainties are the leading limitations
		- $\triangle$  Need to do in-house developments for the scale factors
	- \* Use of most recent MadGraph which includes NLO QCD & EW corrections, should help with simulation of V+jets background and reduce uncertainties
	- v Better MET trigger turn-on could improve low-mass constraints
	- $\bullet$  For MonoV category, develop trigger which includes boosted tagging
	- v Reinterpretation : Publish full likelihood instead of simplified as ATLAS did for Run2 paper

#### v **Monophoton**

- v Include more intrepretation, ALP could be one
- $\bullet$  Use new madgraph samples which can include NLO QCD & EW corrections
- v **Hinv**
	- v VBF
		- Recovery of the HF jets -- currently detector issues cost  $\sim$ 30% of acceptance
		- $\bullet$  Better trigger based on VBF + MET @ L1 / HLT, including angular cuts to reject QCD online
		- $\triangle$  Theoretical constraints for V+jets background
			- $\cdot$  Not available currently, work with theorists needed
	- $\div$  ttH
		- $\triangleleft$  Re-optimized AK8 taggers for Run-3
		- $\bullet$  Use ML to separate ttH (H->inv) from ttbar



**Dark Photon** 

- Add  $U(1)<sub>d</sub>$  from hidden sector **Connection between dark sector and SM** 
	- Couple with SM via kinetic mixing,  $\epsilon$  is kinetic mixing coefficient
	- Massive gauge boson  $(A/Z_d/\gamma_d)$  $\blacksquare$
	- $\epsilon$  and mass of  $(A/Z_d/\gamma_d)$  are key  $\blacksquare$ parameters

#### **Search Strategy based on life:**

- Small : Prompt, resolved/collimated decay e.g. ÷,  $\mathbf{L}$
- Medium: Resolved/collimated decay e.g. delay  $\blacksquare$ LJ, displaced muons
- Long : stable particles, MET signature at  $\blacksquare$ colliders

EXOTICA WORKSHIP 2021: BHAWNA GOMBER November 22, 2021



#### **From arXiv:1002.2952**



32



# **Dark Photon: ZH Channel**

- Probing a Higgs portal model with dark sector  $\bullet$ 
	- $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{\gamma} \mathbf{\gamma}_d$  where  $\mathbf{\gamma}_d$  is massless dark photon
	- $\gamma_d$  couples to Higgs through hidden charge sector
	- M<sub>T</sub> of photon-MET system is used as discriminating variable
	- Dominate background normalized in control region

#### **Relatively clean final state** Limit on  $BR < 4.6\%$  at 95% CL for SM  $H(\gamma + Inv.)$



EXOTICA WORKSHIP 2021: BHAWNA GOMBER November 22, 2021

33



 $Z/\gamma^*$ 



#### **JHEP 10 (2019) 139**

# MonoHiggs bb





### VBF+photon + MET



Flannig years.



Table 2: Summary of the binning choice in the SRs and CRs.



# 2HDM+Amodel parameters



The phenomenology of the model is fully determined by 14 independent parameters: the masses of the Higgs bosons h, H, A, and  $H^{\pm}$ ; the mass of the mediator a; the mass of the DM particle  $\chi$ ; the Yukawa coupling strength between the mediator and the DM particle,  $g<sub>x</sub>$ ; the electroweak VEV, v; the ratio of the VEVs of the two Higgs doublets, tan  $\beta$ ; the mixing angles of the CP-even and CP-odd weak eigenstates,  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ , respectively; and the three quartic couplings between the scalar doublets and the mediator  $(\lambda_{P1}, \lambda_{P2}, \lambda_3).$ 

The values of some of these parameters are heavily constrained by both electroweak and flavour measurements as well as phenomenological considerations, such as the requirement that the Higgs potential is stable. Further parameter choices are driven by the desire to simplify the phenomenology of the model and reduce the space of independent parameters to be scanned by experimental searches. A summary of the parameter choices and the benchmark scans shown in this note is given in the following. A detailed description of the 2HDM+a benchmark scans recommended by the LHC Dark Matter Working Group is given in Ref.  $[22]$ .

The following parameter settings are common to all benchmark scans shown in Section 6. The coupling  $g<sub>x</sub>$ is set to unity with a negligible effect on the shapes of the kinematic distributions of interest. As mentioned above, the alignment limit  $(cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0)$  is assumed, and hence  $m_h = 125$  GeV and  $v = 246$  GeV. The quartic coupling  $\lambda_3 = 3$  is chosen to ensure the stability of the Higgs potential for our choice of the masses of the heavy Higgs bosons which are themselves fixed to the same value  $(m_A = m_H = m_{H^{\pm}})$  to simplify the phenomenology and evade the constraints from electroweak precision measurements  $[21]$ . The other quartic couplings are also set to 3 in order to maximise the trilinear couplings between the CP-odd and the CP-even neutral states

#### BHAWNA GOMBER

 $\bullet$ 

# 14th Dec 2023



# Dark photon : VBF H + photon +  $p_T^{miss}$



**CMS-EXO-20-005**

Combination with analysis where H produced in association with a Z boson

#### For SM-like 125 GeV H boson:



- Another way to search for DM: look for decays of a Higgs boson as the mediator to massless dark photon + SM particles
- Signature: H produced via VBF whose decay produces an isolated  $\bullet$ photon,  $p_T$ <sup>miss</sup>, and two forward jets
- First search for decays to undetected particle and isolated photon in the VBF channel



# Dark photon model





**PhysRevLett.124.131802**