

# The upgrade of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter: future prospects for precision timing and energy measurements at the High Luminosity LHC

Shubhi Parolia On behalf of CMS Collaboration

TIFR, Mumbai



**ICHEPAP2023**, 15 Dec 2023 Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata (India)

## **ECAL: overview**

### The CMS ECAL

- Hermetic homogeneous calorimeter
- Between tracker and hadronic 2.7 calorimeter

#### PbWO<sub>4</sub> scintillating crystal

- high density
- 80% light emission in 25 ns
- crystal dim. ~ em. shower

2.7(2.2) cm



#### Preshower

- Two Pb/Si planes
- 1.65 < |η|< 2.6</li>

### Endcaps

- 7324 crystals / endcap
- Vacuum Photo Triode readout
- 1.48 < |ŋ|< 3.00

#### Barrel

- 61200 crystals
- Avalanche photodiodes readout
- |η| < 1.48

### Role of ECAL in Run-2 Physics

- Conception of ECAL was driven by H−>γγ search
  - Excellent energy resolution, and position resolution, led to the discovery and the precise characterization of the Higgs Boson in H-> $\gamma\gamma$  and H->ZZ channels
- Good timing resolution (~150 ps): key ingredient in searches for non conventional signatures like delayed jets.



## Challenges faced so far..

- Increase in the integrated luminosity over time results in:
  - Crystals facing larger radiation dose.
  - Reduction in the light output of the crystals and radiation induced ageing of the photodetectors and the ECAL readout systems.
- Increase in pileup resulting from:
  - Higher bunch intensities.
  - Larger out of time pile up  $\rightarrow$  Impact on Pulse reconstruction.



### Strategies used in Run 2

- Each channel is monitored with a dedicated laser system every 40 minutes and transparency corrections are provided every 48 hours.
- A "multifit" algorithm was developed to reconstruct the pulse amplitude considering out-of-time pulse effects mitigate the PU to at the reconstruction level. [JINST 15 P10002]
- Good ECAL energy response stability over time is obtained with regular calibrations.



# **HL-LHC**

- Integrated luminosity expected to increase by a factor of 10 reaching ~3000fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Increase of the LHC luminosity in order to meaningfully improve on the current results in a reasonable timeframe:
  - O(1%) precision on SM Higgs couplings
  - Rare Higgs Decays (e.g Hµµ) and production (e.g. HH)
  - Extending reach of BSM searches.
- HIGH RADIATION (due to high integrated lumi.)
  - Radiation levels up to 2x10<sup>16</sup> neq/cm<sup>2</sup> or 1 Grad in the forward region or close to the collision point.
- HIGH PILEUP (due to high instant. lumi.)
  - $\circ$  Multiple collision per event: 140 200





A typical event from a 2016 High PU ( $\langle \mu \rangle = 100$ ) run

### HL-LHC: upgrade requirements for optimal detector operations

- The basic goal of the upgrades to the CMS detector for operations at the HL-LHC is to maintain/improve the excellent performance of the detectors in terms of efficiency, resolution, and background rejection for all the physics objects used in the analysis of the data.
  - The ECAL must retain its performance during HL-LHC operations (Phase 2), when the pileup is 5-7 times larger
- Design requirements for ECAL operations in Phase 2:
  - Higher trigger rate (from 100 kHz to 750 kHz)
  - Higher data bandwidth
  - $\circ \quad \text{Higher radiation} \rightarrow \text{More noise}$
  - Higher pileup results in more "spikes" [anomalous high energy (≥ 100 GeV) signals induced by hadrons interacting directly with the APD core ]

### Precision timing as a tool to mitigate pileup

- Key idea: Under HL-LHC conditions timing information can be used to "separate" pileup vertices that otherwise appear to be "merged" in 3D space.
- This separation improves with the time resolution: ~30 ps resolution is already effective.
- The upgraded Barrel ECAL will provide precision timing information for high energy photon showers.
- The new Endcap calorimeter will provide precision timing information for both high energy photons and hadrons.
- The MIP Timing Detector (MTD) will be used at the HL-LHC for precision timing of all charged particles.



# **Upgrade for HL-LHC**

#### ECAL Barrel (|η|<1.48):

- will retain significant light output and will be retained for HL-LHC operation.
- Reduce the operating temperature from 18°C to 9°C to mitigate APD ageing effects.
  - Dark current reduced by 50% while the light yield is increased by 18%.
- On-detector electronics replaced for precision timing and better trigger granularity.

#### ECAL Endcap (|η|>1.48):

- will suffer significant radiation damage after ~500 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Replaced by High Granularity Calorimeter not covered in this talk



## Legacy vs upgraded on-detector readout



#### Multi-gain pre-amplifier (MPGA):

- Charge sensitive amplifier
- 3 outputs, gain values: x1, x6 and x12

#### Multi channel ADC:

- ADC resolution: 12 bit
- ADC sampling frequency: 40 MS/s

#### **Front-End Card:**

- Data pipeline
- Trigger primitives generation
- Trigger data granularity: 5x5 crystals



#### **CATIA ASIC:**

- Trans-impedance Amplifier (TIA) arch.
- 2 outputs, gain values: x1 and x10

#### Lite-DTU ASIC

- ADC resolution: 12 bit
- ADC sampling frequency: 160 MS/s

#### **New Front-End Card:**

- Fast rad-hard optical links to stream crystal data off-detector through CERN lpGBT/VL
- Trigger data granularity: crystal level

## Legacy vs upgraded on-detector readout



#### Multi-gain pre-amplifier (MPGA):

- Charge sensitive amplifier
- 3 outputs, gain values: x1, x6 and x12

#### Multi channel ADC:

- ADC resolution: 12 bit
- ADC sampling frequency: 40 MS/s

#### **Front-End Card:**

- Data pipeline
- Trigger primitives generation
- Trigger data granularity: 5x5 crystals



#### **CATIA ASIC:**

- Trans-impedance Amplifier (TIA) arch.
- 2 outputs, gain values: x1 and x10

#### Lite-DTU ASIC:

- ADC resolution: 12 bit
- ADC sampling frequency: 160 MS/s

#### New Front-End Card:

- Fast rad-hard optical links to stream crystal data off-detector through CERN lpGBT/VL
- Trigger data granularity: crystal level

## Legacy vs upgraded on-detector readout



#### Multi-gain pre-amplifier (MPGA):

- Charge sensitive amplifier
- 3 outputs, gain values: x1, x6 and x12

#### Multi channel ADC:

- ADC resolution: 12 bit
- ADC sampling frequency: 40 MS/s

#### **Front-End Card:**

- Data pipeline
- Trigger primitives generation
- Trigger data granularity: 5x5 crystals



#### CATIA ASIC:

- Trans-impedance Amplifier (TIA) arch.
- 2 outputs, gain values: x1 and x10

#### Lite-DTU ASIC

- ADC resolution: 12 bit
- ADC sampling frequency: 160 MS/s

#### New Front-End Card:

- Fast rad-hard optical links to stream crystal data off-detector through CERN lpGBT/VL
- Trigger data granularity: crystal level 12

## Test beams

- Beams tests are required for the testing and validation of each design parameter for the planned upgrade.
- It is a process carried out in systematic steps to ensure precision.
- 2018 and 2021 test beams were with 5x5 crystal matrix.
  - 2018: only with the CATIA-ASIC
  - 2021: with entire Very Front End (VFE) of upgrade design.
- 2022 and 2023 test beams were with 200 crystals and full representation.
  - 2022: full upgrade design of barrel was implemented.
  - 2023: physics runs with the finalised design taken.

- Test beam campaign carried out at the H4 beamline (Prevessin site)
- 5x5 crystal matrix equipped with Phase2 VFEs.





### Some results from 2021 TB... (work in progress)

- Single crystal response in terms of average amplitude of the signal (in ADC counts) w.r.t. the energy of the incident electron-beam is shown.
- In the lower panel we report the deviation of the reconstructed energy (in ADC count) with respect to the linear fit, defined as

$$\frac{E_{reco} - E_{reco}^{fit}}{E_{reco}}$$

- With the upgraded readout, the ECAL crystal response is linear with respect to energy over a wide range.
- Maximum deviation from linearity is < 0.3% and it will improve with the studies on the full 5x5 matrix.



## Energy resolution Vs beam energy

- Distribution of the total signal amplitudes in a 3x3 matrix around the central crystal.
  - crystal matrix intercalibration with dedicated runs at 100 GeV
- Fit function is a double side Crystal-Ball.
- Stochastic term S compatible with the expected value of 0.028 GeV<sup>1/2</sup>.

#### 2007 JINST 2 P04004

• Constant term within the requirements



### Time resolution Vs deposited energy

- The time resolution is performed by comparing the time measurement over a single channel to that of an external timing reference detector placed along the beamline.
- The solid blue line represents the fit with the resolution function N/E ⊕ C, where N denotes the noise, C the constant term, and E the deposited energy.
- The constant term of about 13 ps meets the requirements for the Phase 2 design.



### Simulation studies (work in progress)

- Simulation studies are being carried out in the geant4 environment, in order to validate the results with data.
  - The energy deposited in the crystals is studied by shooting the target crystal with 4-5 different energies.
- More detailed study is ongoing.



Private Work (CMS ECAL Simulation)

### Summary

- The HL-LHC will enable measurements of Higgs boson properties with a very high precision as well as significantly extend the scope of new physics searches.
- The main challenges for the CMS detector are to cope with the high levels of radiation and to mitigate the impact of high pileup.
- The Phase-2 ECAL upgrades program is at an advance stage.
- Preliminary results from beam tests have shown promising results with the upgraded electronics :
  - Time resolution for high energy electron showers is obtained to be ~13ps.
  - Energy response shows linear behaviour.
  - Energy resolution is within the requirements.
  - Exciting results for Moliere radius measurements.
- Simulations results are to be compared with the data.

Thankc.

Backup...

# **Energy reconstruction in ECAL**

Energy is measured using all crystals in a shower



### CATIA ASIC performance in beam tests (2018)

ECAL beam tests were performed to test the CATIA amplifier chip for the upgrades :

- One ECAL tower (5\*5 channels) equipped with a CATIA chip was connected with 160 MHz commercial ADC, FE and off-detector components.
- Electron beam, energy range: 25 250 GeV
- Single channel time resolution of ~30 ps obtained for an equivalent energy of 50 GeV.



• very pure e± beam ( $\Delta p/p = 0.5\%$  with 20 2022 TB (12 days) 8 RUs of SM36 read out by 1 BCP 2023 TB (14 days) 9 RUs (+ MEM) of SM36 read out by 2 BCPs

Scope of the 2023 TB campaign:

- Very Front End ASICs: test VFEs with CATIA 2.1 (1 RU + 3 spares VFEs)
- TB2022: VFEs with CATIA 2.0
- BCP firmware: decompressed mode and synchronization between 2 BCP boards
- TB2022: single-BCP read-out, compressed mode only
- Laser read-out: FEM and MEM, read out by BCP

### Impact of precision timing on precision physics measurements

- If the chosen  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  vertex is within ~1 cm the mass resolution is dominated by the photon energy resolution.
- The H→γγ vertex localization efficiency is improved significantly with precision timing (~30 ps) information of EM showers.
- ~10% improvement in the fiducial cross-section sensitivity and  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  resolution compared to no precise timing case.
- The new readout chain is specified to deliver the desired time resolution of 30ps for energies > 50 GeV

$$\mathbf{m}_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{[2.\mathbf{E}_{\gamma1}.\mathbf{E}_{\gamma2}.(1 - \cos \theta_{12})]}$$

